G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. LR 80-89

1892-93

192 .

(LR)

File No. 204-92

Serial Nos. 1-23

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-23.

Part B for April 1896

SUBJECT.

Prayer of Puttappa, alias Venkatasiriyappa Kapgere Village, Holalkere Taluk, regarding his claim for the Shanbhogi of Mattodu and other Villages

To,

20 1. The Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldroog District

2. The Superintendent, Revenue Surveys.

No. 8974/P7-62-92 Dt. 30.3.1896.

Sanctions the redistribution rearrangement of Firkas as proposed by the Deputy Commissioner.

WD 2283—GPB—20,000—14.6.20

Index

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

No. 784

Name of Petitioner. Shan: Puttappa alias Venkatagiriapp

Village. Kapgere

Taluk. Holalkere

District. Chitaldroog

Date of Arzi. 16-9-92

Date of Receipt. 24-9-92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

His petn to Govt. da regarding his shanbogacy of Mahodu +25 villages has been rej to the Dy. Commr, & is unt disposal: he applies to D.C. for certificate of proprietorship which was have not yet been grant No. 5 months have elapse His case has also been pe ing disposal for relax 5 years the Dy. Commr: sends him all Govt to Lan bearing "taxing" items there Prays for early disposal of his case

S.R.B

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

F. 62–92

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

LR 204–92–2

The accompanying Petition No. 784 dated 16–9–92 received at the Dewan's Office from Shanboguru Puttappa alias Venkatagiri appu, Kabgere, Holalkere Taluk, praying for early disposal of his Shanboguru ~~halu~~ Mattodu & 25 other villages

is forwarded, ~~together with~~ its ~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commr for attention Chitaldrug ~~for~~ ~~in~~ Court of Alien Office No. 3169/P30 of 25 Nov 92

By Order,

Draft

25/10/92

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE, 27 Octr 1892.

27/10/92

(21)

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892. 4882

Lr 204-92-3

Reply that the report promised in ~~a~~ awaited

10/11/92

From The Deputy Commissioner Pet 240 Chitaldroog District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Government of Mysore.

Dated 7th } November 1892.
Despatched 8th }

Received

Enclosures None.

General No. 249.
No. 232.

In reply to Memorandum No. 62-92. dated 25th Ultimo.

SUBJECT.

Stating that a final decree will be passed in the Case of Shanbhoga Puttappa of Holalkere Taluk on receipt of a reply from the Survey Superintendent to whom a reference has been made on the subject.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

To the same No. 6550 dy 11/11/92
P.T. 62-92

Petn Br. Mark
10/11/92

3.

No 249. Eu: / 232. "-

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Chitaldroog district
Chitaldroog 7th November
1892

LR 204-92-3

To

21

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your official Memorandum No. 62-92 dated 25th ultimo, forwarding a petition received from Shanbogue Puttappa alias Venkatappiradappa of Holal-kere Taluk, I have the honor to state that agreeably to instruction conveyed in your No. 3169 P 30. dated 25th May 1892 quoted in your Memo: under reply the Survey Superintendent was addressed regarding the re-distribution of 25 villages in question and the last reference to him from this office was on the 25th August 1892 and a reminder on the subject has

been sent on the 5th Instant.

2. On the receipt of his reply a final decree will be passed in the petitioner's Case and a report submitted to Government

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Shaeer Hamaldeen. Ak
For Offg. Deputy Commissioner

Jaffna

6 (41)

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

(3)

Petition
~~Appeal~~ No. 784 of 92-93

LR 204-92-4

Appellant.	Vs.	Respondents
Puttappa alias Venkatagiriappa of Mattodu, Holalkere Taluk.		1. Shamanna 2. Chennappa 3. Timmaiya 4. Puttanna.

~~Decision.~~

Facts of the case — The question for consideration is how best the Shanbhogi of the 25 villages of the late Mattod Firka of the Chitaldrug District may be apportioned among 3 Sharers in pursuance of the Judgment of the Deputy Commissioner of Chitaldrug dated 9th December 1890.

The villages are at present arranged and enjoyed as

as shown in the margin according to the Pakka Potagi Settlement. Villages 16, 17 and 18 are in the Hiriyur Taluk and the others in the Hosadrug Sub Taluk of the Holalkere Taluk.

The persons repre-senting the three shares are:—

Plaintiff— 1 Share
1st & 3d Defts 1 "
4th & 2d Defts 1 "

The Deputy Com-missioner suggests the following re-arrange-ment in accordance with the proposal originally made by the Survey Superin-tendent in his letters Nos 380 and 669 dated 12 August 1892 and 25th November 1892 respectively

Villages the Shanbhogi of which is in dispute	Present holder	Potagi Rs	a	p
1. Mattodu	Thamanna 1st Deft	44	4	
2. Tippanhalli				
3. Gondihalli				
4. Dalvaihalli				
5. Arehalli				
6. Ajjikamsagar	Timmiah 3d Deft & brother of 1st Deft	26	8	
7. Niravagal				
8. Muttagondi				
9. Menesanadu				
10. Hagalkere				
11. Tarehalli	Krishnappa's son Puttanna 4th Deft	30	8	
12. Mudgondanhalli				
13. Kadle Kamsagar				
14. Hotragondanhalli				
15. Ganjigere				
16. Sidgondanhalli	Thammappa 2d Deft & son of 4th Deft	33	"	
17. Kapnaikanhalli				
18. Mallagondanhalli				
19. Ittagondanhalli				
20. Kappagiri	Puttappa Plaintiff.	23		
21. Sodranhalu				
22. Lingadahalli				
23. Jogamanhalli				
24. Bomenahalli				
25. Kacharavara.				

respectively:—

I. One group comprising 9 villages viz: 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 12, 13, 14 and 15 with a Potagi allowance of Rs 46-8-0

II. Two groups comprising 6 villages viz
(1). 5, 6 and 19 in Hosadrug Sub Taluk with a Potagi of Rs. 11-4-0
(2) 16, 17 and 18 in Hiriyur Taluk with a Potagi of Rs 31-12-0
Total 43-0-0

III. One group comprising 10 villages, viz: 8, 9, 10, 11, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 and 25 with a Potagi of Rupees 48-12-0.

~~As observed by~~ the Deputy Commissioner, states that an arrangement by which each can get an exact one-third of the Potagi amount, viz: Rs 46-1-4, cannot be made, and the difference between that amount and what each sharer would get if he took any one of the aforesaid three circles

circles is inconsiderable!

The parties who were present at the hearing on 24th August 1893, viz. the Plaintiff and the 2nd and 4th Defendants, were informed of the arrangement proposed by the Deputy Commissioner and were allowed time to state if they would agree to it.

The Plaintiff asks for the 3d circle which comprises the 5 villages of which he is already in possession, 3 villages in the possession of 3d Defendant and 1 in the possession of the 4th Defendant.

The 4th Defendant on behalf of himself and his son the 2nd Defendant asks for the 1st Circle, which comprises 4 out of the 9 villages of which they are now in possession, and 5 out of the 10 villages in the possession of the 1st and 3d Defendants.

Both the Plaintiff and the 4th Defendant urge that they cannot look after the 2nd circle which consists

of two groups of villages situated in different Taluks, because the Plaintiff is single handed and the 4th Defendants son the 2nd Defendant is yet a minor.

The 1st Defendant represented as a ground for not appearing at the hearing that he was not well. His brother the 3d Defendant Timmia is dead. The latter's widow named Sankramma has submitted a petition praying that her name may be registered for a Firka in lieu of the Hagalkere Firka which her husband held.

Decision.

The Plaintiff and 4th Defendant having agreed, the former to take charge of the groups of villages forming the 3d circle and the latter to do the duties connected with the villages comprising the 1st Circle, the Deputy Commissioner will be requested to arrange for the 1st Defendant

Defendant, who is exparte, and the legal representative of the deceased 3d Defendant being put in charge of the 2d Circle.

"By order"

Redraft.

Chief Secretary

No 5493 / Pet F. 62-92 & 15-25 93

Copy forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District with the papers received with his No. 573/5.31 dt 18th April 1893.

"By order

Chief Secretary

Copd Chitd

(92)

I

LR 2014-92-S

Endorsement granted to Suttappa alias Venkatpiriappa of Mattod Hosadroog Sub Taluk in reply to his Petition No 596 dated 28th August 1893

Petitioner is referred to the Deputy Commr of Chitaldroog to whom instructions have been issued regarding the division of the Sharbhogi of the villages comprised in the late Mattod Sirka, between the several ~~him and other~~ Sharers entitled to

By order

Chief Secretary

Read 21/9/93

II

Endorsement granted to Suttanna and his son Channappa of Mattod Hosadroog Sub Taluk in reply to their Petition No 597 dated 24th August 1893

Petitioners are referred..... (as above)

By order

Chief

III

Endorsement granted to Sha of Mattod Hosadroog Sub Taluk in reply to his Petition No 493 dated

August 1893

Petitioner is referred .... (as above)

By order

Chief Secretary

IV

Endorsement granted to Shankaramma wife of Sannia of Machod, Hosadroog Sub Taluk in reply to her Petition No 561 dated 19th August 1893.

Petitioner is referred .... (as above)

By order

Chief Secretary

Appd &c

ened -

25-9-93-

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893:

No. 1084

Name of Petitioner. Shanbhog Thamanna
by Mr. S. Narayana Rao, advocate

Village.

Taluk. Bangalore

District.

Date of Arzi. 30-10-93

Date of Receipt. 31-10-93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT

States that he submitted a petition on the 10th August requesting an adjournment of the case of the appellant Puttappa which was posted for hearing on the 24th August; that the 3rd defendant was dead on the said date of hearing & his widow had not been brought on record as a party to the Proceeding; that the Govt was pleased to dispose of the case, in the absence of the 1st Respt & the widow of the 3rd Respt on the representation of the appellant

the best villages in the proportion of the
1st & 3rd Respts with large rotaje;
& that the Govt was led, ~~to make~~ by
the misrepresentations of the Appellant
& the 2 & 4 Respts, to make a division
of the villages which is unequal & which
has caused a great deal of hardship &
loss to the 1st Respondent.

Prays that the ex-parte decision of the case
may be set aside & a re-hearing granted
to him, that the widow of the 3rd ~~Def~~ Respt
may be made a party to the case & that
the execution of the first order may
be stayed till the disposal of this petition.

2/11.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

LR 2054-92-7

The accompanying Petition No. 1084

dated 30th October 93 received at the

Dewan's Office from Shanbhog Shamanna of Mattodu, Holalkere Taluk, praying for reconsideration of the order issued in this office No 5493 dt 15th September 1893

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~

~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commissioner Chitaldrug District for early report, in continuation of this office No Cel-7.62 dt 30th September 1893

By Order,

Draft

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE, 10/11 November 1893

10/11

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—21-11-92—3,000.

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893.

(92)

No. 1227

Name of Petitioner. Puttappa Shanbhog of Kappageri

Village. Mathodu

Taluk. Holalkere

District. Chitaldroog

Date of Arzi. 1-11-93

Date of Receipt. 6-11-93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

States that his case, in re the Shanbhogship of Mathodu & other villages was heard on 24th August 1893, & that he receives an endorsement that the decision was sent to the Deputy Commissioner.

Prays that he may be informed in Canarese which villages belong to which person as he does not know English & that the Deputy Commr

Commissioners may be required 18
to give the charges of the respective
villages to the respective persons.

W 11.

19 F. no. 62-91 30-11-93

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

(48)

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 1227

dated 7. 11. 93 received at the

Dewan's Office from Shanbhog Puttappa of Mattodu, Holalkere Taluk, praying that he may be informed ~~of the decision of Govt. in his case regarding the Shanbhogi of Mattodu and other villages~~ which villages Hirka, he & the other Shanbhogs are each to get

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~

~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commr Chitaldrug District in continuation of Official Memo. ~~No. 62-91~~ F. 62 dated 30th Sept. 1893 & 10th Instant.

LD 204-92-9

By Order,

Draft

24/11

BANGALORE, 24 Nov. 1893 30

for Chief Secretary.

SV 27/11

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—21-11-92—3,000.

(General Serial No. 32.)

189 3.

41

No. 1489

Name of Petitioner. Suttappa alias Veerabhadra Shanbhog & Ors

Village. Kasaragod

Taluk. Hosdurga

District. Chitaldroog

Date of Arri. 4-12-93

Date of Receipt. 5-12-93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

Ref 7.62-92 & 28.11.93.

LR 204-92-10

ABSTRACT.

States that with regards to the Shanbhogki office of Mattodu & other villages a scale has been made by the Govt.; that the Respdts gave a Petition to this office for an alteration of the scale which was referred to the Deputy Commissioner from this office; & that there the Respondents prayed for some alteration of the scale & thus wantonly delay the carrying out of the order by trivial disputes.

Prays therefor

pray therefore that the Deputy
Commissioner may be ordered
not to delay any more + to carry
out the original scale into effect.

3/12.

22. ~~888~~ R 62-92 | 13-12-93

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

(57)

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 1489

dated 4. 12. 93 received at the

Dewan's Office from Puttappa alias Venkatagiriappa, Shanbhog of Kappageere Firka, Holalkere Taluk, praying that the order of Govt regarding the Shanbhoge of Mattodu & other villages may be ~~directed to be~~ carried into effect is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commr Chitaldrug District in continuation of Official Memorandum No. R 62-92 dated 28. 11. 93.

LR 204-92-11

By Order,

11/12

Bangalore, 9 Dec 1893 } for Chief Secretary.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—21-11-92—3,000.

Petition Branch
27/12/93

LR 2014-92-12

Recd
23/12/93

Mr Scrutton to prepare a precis
starting from the decision & noting
Petitioners suit ref- orders thereon,
statements recorded by the D.C.'s
& recommendn- subd- by him.
23/12.

Recd
10/1

23.

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF
10128
22 DEC. 9

1893.

Pet 366

From The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog District

(29)

57

To Government

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Durbar~~
of Mysore.

Dated Hariharu, 14th December
1893.

Despatched 21-12-93.

Received

Enclosures No. 3 files of Records
sent separately
General No. 427.
No. 366. (not enclosed)

Official Memoranda from
Government Nos: Pet: dated
7.62-92
28th November and 9th December
13
1893, re the Khanbhogi
of Mathoda and other
villages.

With reference to the above,
has the honour to submit
the statements taken from
the parties concerned,
as well as a written state-
-ment tendered by the 1st
defendant. These statements
clearly show that the
arrangement recommended
by the undersigned and
ordered to be carried out
by Government is not
an equitable one. The
Jirka assigned to the 1st
defendant

inferior to the other Fieikas.
The Plaintiff is very unreason-
-able and declines to accept
any other distribution than
one which will give him
an unfair advantage
over the other shareers.
The 3d. defendant is dead.
His widow is hostile to
the 1st. defendant and tries
to help the Plaintiff in
his attempt to get the
best of the Fieikas. The
distribution recorded
in Memo marked X
seems to be the fairest
and best under all
circumstances the de-
-fendants 1, 2 and 4 are
willing to give the Plaintiff
the option of taking ~~Order~~ any
one of the Circles therein
mentioned.
2. The entire record
is herewith returned
as per Decret.

Deputy Commissioner.

C.C.D.

(52)

Read Docket fm the
Dy Comr of Chittagong
dated 17 Dec 1893.
No. 427/366.

The arrangement proposed
by the Dy Comr in Statement
X cannot be sanctioned
as the Supt
of Revenue Survey is
not prepared to alter
the Pargas as therein
proposed. If the
Dy Comr state conclusive
that the distributions
sanctioned in the order
already passed by Govt
is not equitable Govt

will be glad to
reconsider their order
if the Dist Cons can
propose any other
arrangement, without
disturbing the
formation of the
Tikas as generally
made, or of those
since revised by
the Supt of Revenue
Survey & approved
by Govt. The
parties who were
present at the meeting
which took place
on 5th July 1894 are
were unable to settle
the matter amicably.

[illegible]

7/7/94

9/7/

Draft
2994?

Pro. of the Govt. of Mysore.

27.

LR 204-92-13

Read:

Govt. decision No: 5493 / Rev. 7. 62-92 dated 15th September 1893 sanctioning the distribution of the villages forming the Shanbogi Taka of Mathoda Holalkere Taluk among the three Sharers.

(2) Petitions registered Nos: 1227 & 1489 dated 1st November and 4th December 1893 from Puttappa alias Venkatagiri appa one of the Sharers complaining that the Govt. decision above referred to had not been given effect to.

(3) Docket from the Dy. Comm. Chitaldroog District No. 427/366 dated 17th December 1893, ~~forwarding written statements taken from the parties concerned~~, stating that the arrangement proposed by him & sanctioned by Govt was not equitable, that Petitioner Puttappa demands a distribution which will give him an unfair advantage over the other Sharers and proposing a new distribution, and

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

53

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

Printing Section

~~Submitting the written statements taken from the parties concerned.~~

Order thereon: do 1554
P.F. 62-92
dt 23-7-94

The arrangement proposed by the Dy. Commr. in statement X cannot be sanctioned, as the Supt. of Revenue Survey is not prepared to alter the Tirkas as therein proposed. If the Dy. Commr. still considers that the distribution sanctioned in the order already passed by Govt. is not equitable, Govt. will be glad to reconsider that order, if the Dy. Commr. can propose any other arrangement, without disturbing the formation of the Tirkas originally made, or of those since revised

of Revenue Survey
and approved by Govt.
The parties who were
all present at the hearing
which took place
on 5th July 1894 were
unable to settle the
matter amicably.
The Dy. Commrs early
reply is awaited.

2. The records are
returned for needful
reference and re-submission
with reply

Chief Secy

To
The Dy. Commr. Chittagong.

Redraft
on formal order

S.V.R.
1917.

30.

(General Serial No. 30. 4284.

1894. 27 Pet: 221

From The Deputy Commissioner Chitaldroog District

(5-9)

LR 204-92-14

To Government

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

Dated 25th September 1894

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. —a—.

General No. 242

No. 213.

Stating that his proposals regarding the distribution of the Inam Lands will be submitted after enquiring the practice on the 27th instant.

—

Peta
27.9.94

Read
2/10/94

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog District

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government
of Mysore

Dated 25th
Despatched } September
Received

His docket nos 5236 "P.N. 62-92
5237 dated 17th and
P.N. 62-92 20
20th instant respectively
22

With reference to
the above has the honor
to state that notices
have been issued to
the parties concerned
asking them to appear
before

before this office on the
27th instant. After
making necessary en-
quiry and ascertaining
the wishes of the parties
the undersigned will
at once submit his
proposals regarding
the distribution of the
~~Local~~ Chakvinka.

A. Seemadrinja
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog District

P.1 112 1894

Recd 2/10/94

(General Serial No. 30. 4300

1894.95. Pet: 222.

From The Deputy Commissioner Chitaldroog District

57

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Durbar~~ Government of Mysore.

LR 254-92-15

Dated 27th September 1894.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 256.

No. 225.

In continuation of this office No: 242. dated 25th instant re 213. the distribution of the Inaffodue and other Jirkhas, has the honor to state that the case has been adjourned till the 22nd. Proceeds at the Special Request of the parties who represented that they would in the meantime try to come to some amicable Settlement between themselves. The record with the report of the undersigned will be submitted as soon after the aforesaid date as possible.

Executive

34.

(General Serial No. 30.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

1894.

4301
19 OCT. 94
Pet: 223

From The Deputy Commissioner Chitaldroog District

To Government

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Govt~~ of Mysore.

Dated 28th September 1894

Despatched 28th — do —

Received

Enclosures No. none

General No. 257
No. 226.

Stating that the records &c. connected with the Shanboga office of Mattikode in the Holalkere Taluk will be submitted earlier if so directed.

Await receipt of the report promised.
No further reminders to be sent
20/10.
Mr. Wm 26/10

LR 2084-92-16

Pet 4/10/94

R 3/10/94

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog District

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of My Lore

Dated 28th September 1894.

Despatched.

No. 257. Ex:
226.

His No: Ret/H. 62-92 dated the
25th instant calling for
an early report regard-
ing the Shanbogue
office of the Mathoda
Hirika Holalkere Taluk.

With reference to the
above, has the honour to
invite perusal of this
office No: 256/225 dated
27th instant from which
it will be seen that

the

The case stands adjourned till the 22nd proximo in order to enable the parties to effect a compromise.

2. The record with the report of the undersigned will be submitted on the date mentioned above, unless it's earlier submission without waiting for the result of the negociations in progress between the parties, is directed.

Recommended
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog District

660
37 P.762-92

(General Serial No. 30.

1894. 6700

From The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog District

(2) (63)

To Government

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~
of Mysore.

Dated 21st November 1894.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 2 and three files of records sent separately

General No. 360
No. 316

Submitting the entire record connected with Chanbasa of all attached Firka with a memo giving the substance of the new arrangement proposed &c.

Submitted to Council with reference to Bro. No ~~5493~~ 1554 ~~P.762-92~~ P.762-92 dated 23-7-94 — The Dy Commr proposes a redistribution of the Firkas

J.L. 28/11

J.R 284-92-17

Suit No. O.S. 167 of 13-14
Filed by Plff on 11-7-14
Exd C
Advocate
DATED 11/7/14

Rec'd 26/11
Desd 28/11.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog District

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 21st
Despatched } November 1894.
Received

—

As promised in this Office
No. 257/226 dated 28th September
last, I have the honour to
resubmit the entire record
connected with petition
No. 784 of 1892-93 on the
file of Government re
the Shanbhóg of the 25 villages
of the late Mattódefirka.
A deposition taken from
the parties on the 22nd instant
and a Memorandum
by the undersigned giving
the substance of the new
arrangement therein pro-
posed are also herewith
submitted.

2. The two petitions
received with official
Memo of Government
No. Pet. 7.62-92 dated the 13th/17th
instant are herewith transmitted
with the observation
that

(39.)

that there were evidently written by the Plaintiff a day after voluntarily according to the arrangement detailed in the deposition of the 2nd Instant. The Plaintiff is very unreasonable. Not only did he endeavour for a long time to gain an unfair advantage over his Coshareers but he has also now sent up petitions virtually repudiating an equitable distribution to which he deliberately assented so recently as on the 2nd Instant. His contention seems clearly inadmissible.

I have &c.

Deputy Commissioner
Chittagong District

Chittagong

60

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Chitaldroog District
Dated 21st November 1914.

(5)

Memo

The parties have now come to terms. The arrangement to which they have consented, has been embodied in detail in the joint deposition given and signed by them on the 2nd instant Briefly stated that arrangement is as follows:-

Of the five Jirkas as mentioned in the Government decision, No. 5 has to be registered in the name of the Plaintiff, No. 2 in that of the 3rd defendants widow Lenkavamma, No. 3 in that of the 4th Defendant and No. 4 (Villages Nos. 16, 17 & 18 only) in that of the 2nd Defendant. Village No. 19 has already been transferred from the 4th to the 3rd Jirka and consequently goes to the 4th defdt. So far, there is no deviation from the Jirkas as already constituted by the Survey Department. No. 1 is the only Jirka which requires a somewhat different treatment. Of the 5 villages included in this Jirka, No. 1 (Mathoda) is proposed to be registered in the name of the 1st defendant and the other four in that of the Plaintiff in

Suit No. 167 of 13-14
Filed by Plff on 16.2.14
Exhibit C/1
DATED 16/2/14

C/Jirkas details

C1

in addition to the whole of Jirkas Nos 5.
This slight alteration is not likely
to lead to any practical or ad-
-ministrative inconvenience and
may therefore in my opinion be
sanctioned. Each party agrees
to discharge the duties of Shambhog
of the Jirkas allotted to him by the
said arrangement. As regards
the 'Potige' allowance, the parties
are willing to divide among them-
-selves in three equal shares, the
total income accruing from all
the Jirkas put together.

2. I beg to adhere to the opinion
already expressed by me that the
distribution sanctioned in the order
passed by Government is not
equitable. This is evident from
the statements taken from the parties
and submitted with my docket
No 427/366 dated 17th December 1893.
The arrangement now proposed
by the parties themselves including
the Plaintiff, is a more equitable one,
and gives each party what he is
fairly entitled to without necessitating
any radical alteration of the
existing Jirkas. I am accordingly

in

in favor of its being sanctioned
in supersession of the distribution
already ordered by Government

/signed/ C. Sreenivasiengar
Deputy Commissioner
Chittaldroog District

"Copy"

[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner

Chitt

No. 20555 / Bkft 62-92 dy 5=5-95 / 16. 43.

To

The Secretary of Revenue
Bombay (a Import)

(34)

LR 204-92-18

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence between you and the Deputy Comm. of Chitaldrug on the subject of the redistribution of the villages of the Mathod Taka in view to give effect to the decree of the Deputy Commissioner of Chitaldrug directing the division of the Taka among 3 sharers, I am directed to forward in original for your perusal the papers noted in the margin, and to request that you will be so good as to favor Govt with your opinion regarding the distribution proposed by the Dy Comm. in the Memo received with his letter No. 360/316 of 21st Novr last. I am to add that in making any change which may be deemed absolutely called for, it is essential to see that the villages

1 Secy to Govt of 21-9-93
2 Docket - No 427/366 of 21-12-93 from the Dy Comm. Chitaldrug
3 Govt Progs No 15554-PZ 62-92 of 23-7-94
4 Letter No 360/316 of 21 Novr 94 from the Dy Comm. Chitaldrug with enclosures (6 in all) originally

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

villages form one compact block and are situated entirely in the same Taluk and Sammat.

Thave &c

11/5/95

Draft-
S.V.R. 11/5.

Dy Chief Secy.

11/5

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

65

(General Serial No. 1941)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
189 5 SEP
Pet 202
OFFICE.

From

The Supdt. Mysore Survey

Rec'd 9/9/95 Pet

Ref LR 20555-92-19

(65)

To

Rev.
The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt
of Mysore.

B

Dated Bangalore 7th September 1895

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. one file of corres.

General No. 513
No.

In reply to Rev. secretary's letter No. 20555 P. 7162-92 dated 3-5-95 & reminder Nos pet 223 dated 29-7-95, pet 62-92 & 4/6-9-95

SUBJECT

Submitting his opinion regarding the re-distribution of Mattod Taluka of the Chitaldroog District

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No 513

Survey Superintendent's Office

Bangalore 7th September

1895

To,

The Revenue Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter

No. 20555 / P.F. 62-92 of the 3rd May

1895. I have the honor to state

that the redistribution of

the villages of the Malloor

Taluk proposed by the De-

puty Commissioner in the

Memo

3 Reminders Nos

pet 223 dated 29.7.95

pet 62-92 dated 4-9-95 6

Memo attached to his letter No.
360/316 dated 21st November 1894
may in my opinion be adopted
with this difference that the
village of Ilgondanhalli should,
as agreed by the Deputy
Commissioner in his Shera
yadi No. 122 dated 10th August 1895,
be included in charge of
4th Defendant

2 The charges as now pro-
posed are not absolutely in
distinct blocks but they are
close

lose enough for all practical purposes and as all the parties concerned are agreeable I think they may be adopted. The charges as now redistributed will be

I Mattod

II Ajjikamsagar, Nirodgal, Mutta=
=gondi, Mansinadaru, Hogalkere

III Kárihalli, Mudgondanhalli, Kadli=
=Kámasagara, Ilganjigere, Ilgondan=
=halli, Hotagondhalli

IV Sidgondanhalli, Kafnaikan=
halli, Malgondanhalli

V Kafgere, Sodarnal, Lingadhalli
Jogamainhalli, Bomanhalli,
Kachavara, Tippanhalli, Gondihalli
Dalvaihalli, Arihalli.

The

The 5th charge is numerically large but the total collections are only Rs 571.

3 If approved I will submit amended Taka Tolgi Statement for sanction. Enclos: are returned

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt Servant

Supdt Mysore Rd Survey.

5°

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

66

LR 204-92-20

Read:-

Correspondence ending with letter No. 513 dated 7th September 1895 from the Superintendent Revenue Survey on the subject of the redistribution of the Mattod Firka of the Chitaldrug District, with reference to the decision of the Deputy Commissioner of Chitaldrug in Revenue Suit No 6 of 1890-91 awarding one-third share therein to Plaintiff Puttappa.

2. Petitions from Puttappa

Ext. A 167 of 13-4
Pltff on 11-7-14
Ext. A
Received
Dated 14-7-14

8974-75-8 30-3-96 1/4 Enc: 2 copies Order
P.J. 62-92-

Enc: 3 Ver: files In Proceedings No. 5493-P.J.-62-92 dated 15 Septr 1893, the Govern-

1 copy to D.C. Chitd
1 do dept. R.S. 360/316 of 21-11-96.
2/4/96.

ment sanctioned a re-distribution of the Firkas as specified in the accompanying list marked A, which was in accordance with the original suggestion of the Superintendent Revenue Survey and the recommendation of the Dy Commissioner. But the 1st defendant Shivamma

having applied for a reconsi-
deration of the order,
further enquiry was ordered,
and the Deputy Commr., after
examining all the parties,
reported that the arrangement
sanctioned upon his recom-
mendation was not equitable,
inasmuch as the 1st defendant
would, under it, get a Tarka
which was admittedly inferior
to other Tarkas, and the Plaintiff
would derive an unfair
advantage over the other
sharers. Subsequently an
arrangement was proposed
by the Defendants which had
not the approval of the Supte
Rev: Survey. The Deputy
Commissioner was then asked
to propose other arrangements
without disturbing the formation
of the Tarkas originally made
or of those since revised by
the Supte Revenue Survey
and sanctioned by Government.
He has accordingly proposed
a redistribution as per
particulars specified in list B

Supt^te has no objection.
This arrangement does not
interfere with the constitution
of Firkas as originally made
by the Survey Dep^tt except
in regard to the Mathod
Firka, which consists of 5
villages, and which it is
proposed to break up —
the village of Mathod alone
being formed into a Firka
and the remaining four
villages added to the Kapgere
Firka.

2. The Government are
pleased to sanction the re-
distribution of Firkas now
proposed as per statement
B, and direct that the Potiyi
attached to the Mathod
and Kapgere Firkas be
revised with reference to the
alterations made in respect
of them.

Re Draft — 30/3

C 1, 2 — for Secretary

To
The Deputy Comm^r Chitaldrug
" Superintendent Rev: Survey
Dist^t with records
in Mysore

cmp^d
N^o Endorsed 6 Sept^r

+ will follow

4 4 10

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

2674 - 2677

(67)

2724-92-21

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1896 [illegible]

By order

for Secretary



1896 APR 5455 Pet 688 DEWAN'S OFFICE

From The Superintendent,
Mysore Revenue Survey.

To (68)
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Dated 15th April 1896

Despatched

Received

General No. 995
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Petition No
1874/96
Pet 204-92-22
Pet 62
Rec'd 15/4/96

Adverting to
Government Proceedings
No. 8974-75 dated 30th
P.F. 62-92
March 1896 has the
honor to forward a
supplemental state=
=ment shewing the
amount of the fund
remuneration

remuneration fixed for the Mattod Firkas as sanctioned in the above Proceedings.

By the present redistribution the old scale is exceeded by Rs 1. 12. 0 as will be observed from the above State= =ment and for which sanction is re= =quested.

2. The Firka comprising Sid= =gondanhalli and two other villages are omitted to be shewn in the Statement as they are transferred to the Taluk of Hiriyur and the scale of remuneration to the said Firka stands unchanged.

Supt Tellycore Rev: Survey

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

LR 204-92-23 P

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

(70)

Read.

Docket No 995 dated 15th April 1896 from the Superintendent Mysore Revenue Survey, forwarding, with reference to Govt Ltr. No. 8974-75 / P.T. 62-92 dated 30th March 1896 a supplemental Statement showing the amount of the final remuneration fixed for the Mattod Firkas in the Holalkere Taluk and stating that by the present re-distribution the old scale is exceeded by Rs. 1-12-0.

Order. No 9914-16 / Pet 362-92 dated 9-5-96.

The remuneration now proposed, as compared with the old scale is shown in the margin. The excess of Rs. 1-12-0 is sanctioned.

	Old Scale	Remuneration now proposed including Subsidiary
1. Mattod (alone)	1. Mattod & 4 other villages - 4-4-0	37-12-0
2. Hiriyakamagere & 4 other villages	2. Hiriyakamagere & 4 other villages - 2-8-0	26-8-0
3. Harekalli & 5 other villages including Hondakalli*	3. Harekalli & 5 other villages including Hondakalli* 31-12-0	30-12-0
4. Kaffagere & 7 other villages	4. Kaffagere & 7 other villages 23-0-0	32-4-0
	125-8-0	127-4-0

Excess Rs. 1-12-0

*(Note by Sir. The assessment of Hondakalli was separately fixed in Govt Ltr. No. 8974-75 dated 30th March 1896 the Village of Rs. 1-4-0 : Inamdar's allowance in Hondakalli Rs. 30-8-0 : Mirasi 4 of the Firka, Rs. 3-4-0 :) added to the Sum of Rs. 125-8-0 leaving Rs. 127-4-0 as the amount allowed for Firka Honeed Kere

U.

Secretary

To The Supt. Mysore Rev. Survey
The Dy. Comm. Chitaldroog Dt.
CR 85 The Comptr. Govt. Mysore